Highly Enantioselective Additions of Diethylzinc to Aldehydes Using 2-Triflamido-methyl-2'-hydroxy-1,1'binaphthyl

Seung-Wan Kang, Dong-Hyun Ko, Kyoung Hoon Kim, and Deok-Chan Ha*

Department of Chemistry, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Korea dechha@korea.ac.kr

Received September 24, 2003



A new *N*-triflated amino alcohol-titanium catalyst was designed for the asymmetric ethylation of aldehydes. This binaphthyl-based sulfonamido alcohol ligand shows uniformly high yield and enantioselectivity in the diethylzinc additions of aromatic, aliphatic, and unsaturated aldehydes.

Synthesis of a chiral secondary alcohol by asymmetric addition of diorganozinc to an aldehyde is one of the most successful areas in asymmetric C–C bond formation.¹ Since the report by Ohno and co-workers on the highly enantioselective addition of diethylzinc to aldehydes using $Ti(O'Pr)_4$ complexed with chiral bistriflamide **1** (R = CF₃),² extensive studies have been performed on the structural derivatives of bissulfonamide and sulfonamido alcohol **1–3**,^{3–5} TADDOLs **4**,⁶ and BINOLs **5**.^{7–9} However, few studies have been performed with binaphthyl-based sulfonamides, and bissulfonamides **6** were recently reported to be

10.1021/ol0358511 CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society Published on Web 10/21/2003

poor asymmetric ligands for the Ti-catalyzed Et₂Zn addition to aldehydes.¹⁰ In our previous report, highly enantioselective



Figure 1. Chiral ligands for Ti-catalyzed dialkylzinc addition to aldehyde.

additions of diethylzinc and diphenylzinc to aldehydes were accomplished using 2-dialkylaminomethyl-2'-hydroxy-1,1'-

LETTERS 2003 Vol. 5, No. 23 4517–4519

ORGANIC

^{(1) (}a) Soai, K.; Shibata, T. In *Comprensive Asymmetric Catalysis*; Jacobsen, E. N., Pfaltz, A., Yamamoto, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1999; pp 911–922. (b) Pu, L.; Yu, H.-B. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 757–824.

^{(2) (}a) Yoshioka, M.; Kawakita, T.; Ohno, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 1657–1660. (b) Takahashi, H.; Kawakita, T.; Yoshioka, M.; Kobayashi, S.; Ohno, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 7095–7098. (c) Takahashi, H.; Kawakita, T.; Ohno, M.; Yoshioka, M.; Kobayashi, S. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 5691–5700.

^{(3) (}a) Balsells, J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 3250–3251. (b) Balsells, J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 1802–1803. (c) Hwang, C.-D.; Uang, B.-J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1998**, 9, 3979–3984. (d) Qiu, J.; Guo, C.; Zhang, X. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 2665–2668. (e) Prieto, O.; Ramon, D. J.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **2000**, 11, 1629–1644.

⁽⁴⁾ Ito, K.; Kimura, Y.; Okamura, H.; Katsuki, T. Synlett 1992, 573-574.

⁽⁵⁾ Ramon, D. J.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 2479–2496.

^{(6) (}a) Schmidt, B.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1991**, 30, 1321–1323. (b) Weber, B.; Seebach, D. Tetrahedron **1994**, 50, 7473–7484.

^{(7) (}a) Zhang, F.-Y.; Yip, C.-W.; Cao, R.; Chan, A. S. C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1997**, 8, 585–589. (b) Mori, M.; Nakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 6233–6236.

binaphthyl *N*,*O*-ligands,¹¹ which showed much improved enantioselectivity compared with that of the corresponding 2-dimethylamino-2'-hydroxy-1,1'-binaphthyl.¹²

In this report, we prepared binaphthyl-based sulfonamido alcohols from chiral binaphthol and used them as ligands for enantioselective Ti(IV)-catalyzed ethylation of aldehydes.

Sulfonamides 10a-c were prepared by conversion of 7 to the amide 8, which was reduced to the amine 9 with LAH followed by the corresponding sulfonations (Scheme 1).^{11,13}



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) NaCN (0.1 equiv), NH₃, MeOH, 70–80 °C, 48 h, 94%; (b) LiAlH₄ (4 equiv), THF, reflux, 24 h, 48%; (c) *n*-BuLi (2 equiv), THF, -78 °C; Tf₂O (2 equiv), -78 °C, 1 h; LiOH–H₂O (12 equiv), rt, 12 h, 89% (for **10a**); (d) Tf₂O (2 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C, 1 h, 92%; (e) BBr₃ (3 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 69%.

Ester 7 was highly resistant toward ammonia or amide for the aminolysis to amide 8, but the conversion proceeded slowly in methanolic ammonia on heating in the presence of a catalytic amount of NaCN.¹⁴ Selective *N*-sulfonation of amino alcohol 9 to 10a-c was not possible, probably because of the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the amino and hydroxy groups. Bis-*N*,*O*-sulfonation of 9 followed by the selective hydrolysis of the resulting sulfonate moiety provided sulfonamides 10a-c. For comparative purpose, sulfonamide 13, not having the methylene unit of 10a, was prepared from the known *O*-methyl NOBIN 11^{15} with *N*-triflation followed by demethylation of 12 with BBr₃.

The sulfonamido alcohol ligands 10a-c and 13 were subjected to the ethylation of benzaldehyde with Et₂Zn in the presence of Ti(OⁱPr)₄ in toluene (Table 1). Ligand 10a

Table 1.	Addition	of Diethylzinc to) Benzaldehyde	Using
Ligands 1	10a-c and	13		

	0 Ph H <u>1.2</u>	1.8 eq. Et ₂ Zn eq. Ti(O [/] Pr) ₄ , li –25°C	gand Ph		
	ligand		time	yield ^a	ee ^{b,c}
entry	(mol %)	solvent	(h)	(%)	(%)
1	10a (5)	toluene	2	99	96
2	10b (5)	toluene	5	60	34
3	10c (5)	toluene	5	61	23
4	13 (5)	toluene	5	79	8
5	10a (3)	toluene	2	99	96
6	10a (1)	toluene	2	99	95
7	10a (1)	hexane	2	99	93
8	10a (1)	CH_2Cl_2	2	99	99

^{*a*} Conversion yield (Chiraldex G-TA column). ^{*b*} Determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OD column). ^{*c*} Absolute configuration assigned by comparison to the literature.

showed a very high enantioselection with the use of 5 mol % in toluene (entry 1). With sulfonamides **10b** and **10c**, much decreased conversion yields were observed despite the extended reaction time under the same condition, and the enantioselections were very poor (entries 2 and 3). As was expected from the result of using **6**, ligand **13** was a very poor chiral ligand for the ethylation (entry 4). Decreasing the amount of ligand **10a** used resulted in almost the same conversion yield and enantioselection (entries 5 and 6). By changing the solvent from toluene or hexane to dichloromethane, almost quantitative conversion and complete enantioselection were accomplished with the use of only 1 mol % of **10a** (entry 8).

With conditions optimized for benzaldehyde, the use of ligand **10a** was extended to the asymmetric ethylation of other aromatic, aliphatic, and α,β -unsaturated aldehydes (Table 2). The yields and enantioselectivities for the substituted benzaldehydes (entries 2–7) and naphthaldehydes (entries 8 and 9) were all excellent regardless of the position of substituents. The additions were completed within 2 h at –25 °C with the use of 3 mol % of ligand **10a**, and the reduced alcohol products, observed in about 2–5% in our previous study using 2-dialkylaminomethyl-2'-hydroxy-1,1'-binaphthyl ligand,¹⁰ were not detected at all.

Excellent reactivity and enantioselection were obtained with both primary and secondary aliphatic aldehydes (entries 10 and 11) and also with *trans*-cinnamaldehyde (entry 12). A slight decrease of enantioselection was observed with phenylpropargyl aldehyde (entry 13).

^{(8) (}a) Zhang, F.-Y.; Chan, A. S. C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1997**, 8, 3651–3655. (b) Shen, X.; Guo, H.; Ding, K. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2000**, *11*, 4321–4327.

^{(9) (}a) Kitajima, H.; Ito, K.; Katsuki, T. Chem. Lett. 1996, 343–344.
(b) Kitajima, H.; Aoki, Y.; Katsuki, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1997, 70, 207–217.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Yus, M.; Ramon, D. J.; Prieto, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 1103-1114.

⁽¹¹⁾ Ko, D.-H.; Kim, K. H.; Ha, D.-C. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3759–3762. (12) (a) Vyskocil, S.; Jaracz, S.; Smrcina, M.; Sticha, M.; Hanus, V.;

Polasek, M.; Kocovsky, P. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 7727–7737. (b) Bringmann, G.; Breuning, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1998**, 9, 667–679.

⁽¹³⁾ Ohta, T.; Ito, M.; Inagaki, K.; Takaya, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 1615–1616.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Hogberg, T.; Strom, P.; Ebner, M.; Ramsby, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2033–2036.

^{(15) (}a) Hattori, T.; Hotta, H.; Suzuki, T.; Miyano, S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1993**, *66*, 613–622. (b) Hattoro, T.; Shijo, M.; Sakamoto, J.; Kumagai, S.; Nakajima, A.; Miyano, S. J. Chem. Res., Miniprint **1995**, 124–134.

 Table 2.
 Addition of Diethylzinc to Aldehydes Using Ligand

 10a



^{*a*} Isolated yields. ^{*b*} Absolute configuration assigned by comparison to the literature. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OD column). ^{*d*} Determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OB-H column). ^{*e*} Determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column). ^{*f*} Determined by chiral GC (Chiraldex G-TA column) of the corresponding acetate derivatives. ^{*g*} Using 5 mol % of **10a**.

Unlike with the BINOL-Ti(O'Pr)₄ system, which shows no nonlinear effect under catalytic conditions,^{7b} small but distinctive negative nonlinear effect can be seen in the catalytic system using ligand **10a**, as shown in Figure 2. It was clearly demonstrated by Walsh¹⁶ that a complex between (BINOLate)Ti(O'Pr)₂ and Ti(O'Pr)₄ is preferentially involved in the catalytic condition. Although the transition structure for the ethylation using **10a**—titanium cannot be drawn at this stage, interactions between the **10a**—ligated titanium intermediates may be present in this catalytic system to induce such a nonlinear effect.¹⁷

In summary, we prepared a new binaphthyl-based *N*-triflated amino alcohol ligand and applied it to the enantio-



Figure 2. Correlation between the ee of ligand **10a** and the ee of ethylation product of benzaldehyde (1 mol % **10a**, 1.8 equiv of Et_2Zn , 1.2 equiv of $Ti(O^2Pr)_4$, -25 °C, 2 h in CH_2Cl_2).

selective addition of diethylzinc to aldehyde. This N,O-ligand $-Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ catalyst provides excellent yields and enantioselectivities in the reactions of diethylzinc with a broad range of aromatic, aliphatic, and unsaturated aldehydes.

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by the Center for Molecular Design and Synthesis (CMDS) at KAIST.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and characterization data for all new compounds and conditions for determining the enantiopurity of the alcohol products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL0358511

^{(16) (}a) Balsells, J.; Davis, T. J.; Caroll, P.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10336–10348. (b) Gao, G.; Moore, D.; Xie, R.-G.; Pu, L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4143–4146.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Girard, C.; Kagan, H. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2922–2959.